



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/900,691	07/06/2001	Hans-Juergen Hauschild	112740-237 6701	
29177	7590 04/29/2004		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOY	D & LLOYD, LLC		ESCALANT	E, OVIDIO
P. O. BOX 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60690-1135		2645 :	
				1)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		+	PLC		
-11 k	Application N	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>		
Advisory Action	09/900,691 HAUSCHILD ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ovidio Escalante	2645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in		
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WHO 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Cottimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	is Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth re later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin /AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The the date on which the petition under 37 CF and of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply office later than three months after the main properties of the same statutory period for reply office later than three months after the main properties of the same statutory period for reply of the same statutory period f	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriation is the final the	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)	•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cand	eling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejo	ection(s):				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	ıld be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
<ul> <li>5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ return the application in condition for allowance</li> <li>6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ul>	because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>		•		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					

FAN TSANG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly added limitation "all individual messages" and "a single input" to independent claims 1 and 7 raises new issues since the limitation was not considered before.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant contends that Walsh does not disclose or suggest requesting all voice messages with a single input and states that col. 3, lines 19-26 merely describes grouping together voice message from the same caller based on the caller's return address. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. As shown in the final office action, Walsh, as noted by applicant, teaches of grouping together voice messages from the same caller. Walsh also teaches of retrievin messages by having the user input a header e.g. caller name. Therefore, as explained in the office action since multiple messages from the same caller can be grouped together and since the user can input a single input (header) to retrieve all messages from the same caller then the Examiner believes that Walsh discloses of requesting all voice messages (from the same caller) with a single input (single name input).